

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,562	06/25/2001	Ryo Katsura	2635-24	7552
7	590 09/20/2002			
	ANDERHYE P.C.	EXAMINER		
8th Floor 1100 North Gle	ebe Road	MILLER, CARL STUART		
Arlington, VA	22201-4714		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>					
		Application No. Og/887562	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit		
•		miller	37747		
—The MAILING DATE of	this communication appe		eath the correspondence address		
P riod for Response		3			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMI		S SET TO EXPIRE 5	MONTH(S) FROM THE		
from the mailing date of this commu	inication.		ay a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONT minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered times		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	m the mailing date of this communication . to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
☐ This action is FINAL .					
		pt for formal matters, prosecu 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ition as to the merits is closed in		
Disp sition of Claims	1-29	1			
/ ·			is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
☐ Claim(s)			is/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)———			is/are objected to.		
Claim(s)	/- ك	-9	are subject to restriction or election		
			requirement.		
Application Papers					
☐ See the attached Notice of [·	•			
☐ The proposed drawing corre		• •	lisapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on		ected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected					
☐ The oath or declaration is of	ected to by the Examiner.				
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	ı)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgment is made or	a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).			

Attachm nt(s)

☐ received.

*Certified copies not received:____

☐ Information Disclo	sure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

 $\hfill\square$ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)___

☐ Other_____

Office Acti n Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/887,562 Page 2

Art Unit: 3747

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Figures 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 22 and 23, respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 15 and 24 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,562

Page 3

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to C. Miller at telephone

number 703-308-2653.

Carl S. Miller
Primary Examiner

C. Miller

September 16, 2002